

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p>DAMON VINCENT JOBIN,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">4:18-CR-40132-01-KES</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SCHEDULING AND CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER</p>
---	---

In order to comply with the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 *et seq.*, and to set forth orderly progression dates, it is

ORDERED that the following deadlines will apply in this case:

Discovery motions	August 20, 2019
Suppression/voluntariness motions	August 27, 2019
Responses to motions due	Within five days after motion is filed
Subpoenas for suppression hearing	August 27, 2019
Suppression/voluntariness hearing before Magistrate Judge Veronica Duffy	If necessary, will be held prior to September 13, 2019
Applications for Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Testificandum	September 24, 2019
Other motions	October 1, 2019
Responses to motions due	Within five days after motion is filed
Subpoenas for trial	October 1, 2019
Plea agreement or petition to plead and statement of factual basis	October 1, 2019
Notify court of status of case	October 1, 2019
Motions in limine	October 8, 2019
Proposed jury instructions due	October 8, 2019
Jury trial	Tuesday, October 15, 2019, at 9 a.m.

1. Proposed jury instructions, with citations to authority, will be filed. Submit only substantive instructions pertaining to the law of the case; do not submit standard or boilerplate instructions.

2. No motions will be entertained unless they are accompanied by a reasoned memorandum setting forth the precise issues to be considered by the court, together with an explanation of the relevant law to the particular facts of the case. The filing of "boilerplate" motions or memoranda will not satisfy this requirement. Responses to motions will set forth specific points of law, together with supporting authorities relied upon.
3. Counsel for the parties will confer, prior to the filing of motions, in order to reach agreement upon all pretrial motions, including motions for discovery and disclosure. The government provides a stipulation form to implement the court's standing discovery order.
4. Upon request of the defendant, the plaintiff will forthwith comply with Rule 16(a)(1)(A)-(G).
5. If the defendant requests disclosure under Rule 16(a), such defendant will, upon request of the plaintiff, forthwith comply with Rule 16(b).
6. The government will timely furnish all materials required by *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) and *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972).
7. Any written statements of plaintiff's witnesses discoverable under the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500, will be furnished to the defendant unless the government demands strict compliance with the Jencks Act.
8. It will be the responsibility of defense counsel to notify defense witnesses not to report if the case **does not** go to trial.
9. In the event a party fails to comply with the provisions of this order, the court may strike a motion, refuse to permit oral argument, rule against the offending party, impose fine, award payment of attorney's fees, or proceed in such other manner as justice requires.
10. Upon a showing of good cause, the court may permit exceptions to the rules set forth in this order.
11. Counsel is responsible for client's prompt attendance at all hearings and trial.
12. If defense counsel or government counsel feel that an interpreter is needed in this case, counsel will promptly file a motion with the court requesting an interpreter.

Dated August 8, 2019.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Karen E. Schreier

KAREN E. SCHREIER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CR

18-40132

Plaintiff,

REDACTED INDICTMENT

vs.

DAMON VINCENT JOBIN,

Conspiracy to Distribute a  
Controlled Substance; Conspiracy to  
Launder Monetary Instruments

Defendant.

21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846;  
18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i), (ii),  
and (h)

---

The Grand Jury charges:

COUNT 1.

Beginning on an unknown date and continuing until on or about the date of the indictment, in the District of South Dakota and elsewhere, Damon Vincent Jobin did knowingly and intentionally combine, conspire, confederate, and agree together, with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to knowingly and intentionally distribute 100 grams or more of a mixture and substance containing a fentanyl analogue, to wit: cyclopropyl fentanyl, a Schedule II controlled substance analogue as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 802(32), knowing the substance was intended for human consumption, as provided in 21 U.S.C. § 813, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846.

COUNT 2.

Beginning on an unknown date and continuing until on or about the date of the indictment, in the District of South Dakota and elsewhere, Damon Vincent Jobin did knowingly and intentionally combine, conspire, confederate, and agree together, with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to knowingly conduct and attempt to conduct financial transactions affecting interstate and foreign commerce, to wit: depositing U.S. Currency at financial institutions, which involved the proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is, conspiracy to distribute a controlled substance, knowing that the transactions were designed in whole and in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and control of the proceeds of said specified unlawful activity, and made with intent to avoid a transaction reporting requirement under State or Federal law; and that while conducting and attempting to conduct such financial transactions knew that the property involved in the financial transactions represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, all in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(B)(i), (ii), and (h).

A TRUE BILL:

**Name Redacted**

---

Foreperson

RONALD A. PARSONS, JR.  
United States Attorney

By: 